

## REMARKS

Claims 1-11 are pending in the application. Claims 6-11 are allowed. Claims 1-5 stand rejected. Claim 1 has been amended. No new matter is submitted with this Reply.

### Reply to the Rejection of Claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-5 as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The Examiner asserts that the 'posterior interior shaft' recited in claim 1 is not cited in the specification.

Claim 1 has been amended to replace the posterior 'inner shaft' language with the posterior 'interior wall' (39) language found at p. 6, line 4 of the Specification. Accordingly, no new matter is introduced with this amendment.


It is believed that the above amendments and remarks overcome the Examiner's rejection of claims 1-5 as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Withdrawal, therefore, of the rejection of claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph is respectfully requested.

Based on the above amendments and remarks, allowance of the claims is believed to be in order, and such allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 11 September 2006

PATENT OFFICE OF DAVID P. LECROY  
Post Office Box 631  
Manville, New Jersey 08835-0631  
Phone 908.685.5433  
Fax 908.707.3706

  
David P. LeCroy  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No. 37,869